KRISHNA SAHI): (a) No, Sir. BHEL is not side-lined in the international competition in the Power Equipment Sector. In fact, its performance has been quite good as it has won more than 85 per cent of the International competitive bids in India for Thermal & Hydro Power Generating Equipments.

(b) BHEL has informed that its capacity utilisation in 1994-95 will also remain around 50 per cent.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) and (e) The orders received by BHEL in the country for Thermal & Hydro Power Generating Equipment during 1991-92, 1992-93 & 1993-94 are under:—

Orders received by BHEL in MW :

	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94 (Upto Feb. '94)
Thermal		750	920
Hydro . .	105	520	250
Total :	705	1270	1170

(f) The main reason for the inadequate inflow of orders for Power Generating Equipment is paucity of investible funds with the Utilities.

(g) BHEL is making efforts to bag more orders in the following areas:—

(1) Diversification into new product areas where BHEL's existing infrastructure could be gainfully utilised. It has already introduced products like Gas Turbines, AC Locos etc. Some new products like Circulating Fluidised Bed Boilers, Simulators, Wind Electric Generator etc. are in the process of introduction.

(2) Exploring the possiblity of providing financial support to power projects on selective basis.

(3) Enter into joint ventures.

Business set up by MNCs

3150. SHRI SHIV PRATAP MISHRA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the details of multinational companies that have set up their business in different States, State-wise; and

(b) the terms and conditions settled with the State Governments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SMT. KRISHNA SAHI): (a) and (b) Since August 1991, 30 foreign companies have been permitted to set up wholly owned subsidiaries/industries in India till December, 1993. The names of these foreign companies and the States where the projects are proposed to be set up are given in attached statement. (*See* below)

These proposals have been cleared in terms of the New Industrial Policy, 1991. For obtaining requisite infrastructuret facilities for implementing the project, the companies have to follow the prescribed policy and procedure prevalent in the respective States.

Statement

	Name of Company	States in which proposed to be located
1.	M/s. Bently Navada Corpn., USA	Maharashtra
2.	M/s. International Petroleum SA, BVI, Switzerland.	Gujarat
3.	M/s. Cargil South East Asia Ltd., Singapore	Gujarat
4.	M/s. Huber CST Co., USA	Maharashtra
5.	M/s. Wearnas Hollingworth Singapore Pvt. Ltd., Singapore	Bangalore/Karnataka
6.	M/s.Leachler GmbH & Co., KG, Germany	Maharashtra
7.	M/s. Loctite Corporation, Singapore	Gujarat
8.	M/s. C.P. Acquaculture Busniness Gr., Bankok	Kerala, A.P., T.N. Maharashtra, W.B.
9.	M/s. McDonald Corporation, USA	In a various States
10.	M/s. VLST Technology Inc., USA	..
11.	M/s. Silicon Graphics, USA	Delhi
12.	M/s. GES, Signapore	Delhi
13.	M/s. Betz International Inc., USA	Bangalore/Karnataka
14.	M/s. Seagram Co. Ltd., Canada	Not Indicated
15.	M/s. KPMG-Peat Marwick, UK	Delhi
16.	M/s. Coca Cola South Asia Holding Inc., USA	Not Indicated
17.	M/s. Gruma SA, Mexico	Punjab, Haryana other states in N. India
18.	M/s. Van Ommereh Tank Terminals Asia BV, Netherlands	Maharashtra
19.	M/s. AMP Incorporated, USA	Karnataka
20.	M/s. Procter & Gamble Co., USA	Madhya Pradesh
21.	M/s. Dun & Bradstree Corpn., USA	Delhi
22.	M/s. Levi's Strauss & Co., Hongkong	Delhi
23.	M/s. Inchcare Overseas Ltd., U.K.	Delhi
24.	Haystack Systeons, USA	Not Indicated
25.	Computer Vision Corporation, USA	Pune/Maharashtra
26.	Tangible Vision Inc., USA	Madras/T.N.
27.	KFC (A subsidiary of Pepsico Inc.) Hongkong	In various states
28.	Ceylon Supply Dev. Corpn., Srilanka	T.N., A.P., Kerala, Karnataka
29.	Digital Image Systeme AG, Switzerland	Trivenndrum/Kerala
30.	Bechtal Corporation, USA	Delhi